ADVANCED ASTROPHYSICS (77938) - PROBLEM SET NO. 4

Due Date: June 21, 2012

1. Very Low Mass Stars

Consider stars on the main sequence, having a very low mass, such that they are completely convective.

- a. Using Homology or a polytropic relation (plus a radiative relation for the surface!), find how the luminosity and radii of these objects will change according to the hydrogen mass fraction X in them.
- b. What is the X for which the star becomes degenerate?
- c. How long will it take the star to reach this state?

2. Homologous Stars

- a. In class we developed the scaling relations between homologous stars. Extend these relations to the case in which the gravitational constant G is no longer a constant in time.
- b. Over its lifetime till the present day, the sun has increased its luminosity by $\sim 25\%$. By how much must we change G in order to explain this? What is the rate of change of G of over the sun's lifetime ($\sim 10^{10} \, \mathrm{yrs}$)?

3. Non-Degenerate Envelope in a White Dwarf

For the case of Kramer's opacity $\kappa = \kappa_0 \rho T^{-7/2}$, one can integrate over the envelope of the White Dwarf to get

$$\rho = \left(\frac{4}{17} \frac{16\pi acG}{3\kappa_0} \frac{\mu m_H}{k} \frac{M}{L}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{13/4}$$

a. Use the above expression and the expression for Kramer's Opacity in the equation for radiative transport. Integrate from the edge of the White Dwarf R to the point r_* where the electrons become degenerate and show the temperature there is

$$T_* = \frac{4}{17} \frac{\mu m_H}{k} \frac{GM}{R} \left(\frac{R}{r_*} - 1\right)$$

b. The non-degenerate envelope in White Dwarfs can be shown to be small. Show that for a typical White Dwarf with T_* of order 10^6 K r_* and R differ by less than 1%.

4. Pulsar Wind-down by Gravitational Radiation

General Relativity effects are important in neutron stars, and specifically, radiating energy via gravtiational waves, if the rotating neutron star has a quadrupole moment which changes with time. For example, if its equator is elliptical. For such a star, with an elliptical equator of eccentricity ϵ , the rate of gravitational energy radiated is

$$\dot{E_G} = -\frac{32}{5} \frac{G}{c^5} I^2 \epsilon^2 \Omega^6$$

Where I is the moment of inertia and Ω the orbital frequency.

- a. Find an expression for the age of a pulsar τ_{GW} given the orbital frequency when it first formed Ω_i and today Ω_0 , and assuming the energy is lost *only* through gravitational radiation. Compare to the similar expression in the case of the magnetic dipole model $\tau_{MD}=T/2$, where T is a typical time scale today. Assume $\Omega_0\ll\Omega_i$.
- b. Assume that the Crab nebula pulsar started out with $\Omega_i = 10^4 \, \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. The following values are given:

$$\epsilon = 3 \times 10^{-4}$$
 $I = 1.4 \times 10^{45} \, \mathrm{gr \, cm^2}$ $R = 12 \, \mathrm{km}$ $B = 5 \times 10^{12} \, \mathrm{gauss}$

Compare the rate of energy loss due to magnetic dipole radiation and gravitational radiation and answer the following:

- i. Which energy dissipation process was dominant when the pulsar was formed?
- ii. How long after the pulsar was formed were the energy dissipation rates equal for the two processes?
- iii. What is the ratio between the two rates today?
- c. The frequency of the Crab Nebula Pulsar is $\Omega_{Crab} = 188.7 \, \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. Find its age using the formula you derived. Is the result compatible with the known age of the pulsar 950 yrs? What do we learn from this?