

Long-lived driven solid-state quantum memory

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2012 New J. Phys. 14 093030

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1367-2630/14/9/093030)

View [the table of contents for this issue](http://iopscience.iop.org/1367-2630/14/9), or go to the [journal homepage](http://iopscience.iop.org/1367-2630) for more

Download details: IP Address: 132.64.80.58 The article was downloaded on 14/04/2013 at 16:53

Please note that [terms and conditions apply.](http://iopscience.iop.org/page/terms)

# New Journal of Physics

The open-access journal for physics

## **Long-lived driven solid-state quantum memory**

### **Jianming Cai**1,2,<sup>5</sup> **, Fedor Jelezko**2,<sup>3</sup> **, Nadav Katz**<sup>4</sup> **, Alex Retzker**1,<sup>4</sup> and Martin B Plenio<sup>1,2</sup>

 $<sup>1</sup>$  Institut für Theoretische Physik, Albert-Einstein Allee 11, Universität Ulm,</sup> 89069 Ulm, Germany  $2$  Center for Integrated Quantum Science and Technology, Universität Ulm, 89069 Ulm, Germany  $3$  Institut für Quantenoptik, Albert-Einstein Allee 11, Universität Ulm, 89069 Ulm, Germany <sup>4</sup> Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Givat Ram, Israel E-mail: [jianming.cai@uni-ulm.de](mailto:jianming.cai@uni-ulm.de)

*New Journal of Physics* **14** (2012) 093030 (11pp) Received 18 June 2012 Published 17 September 2012 Online at <http://www.njp.org/> doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/9/093030

**Abstract.** We investigate the performance of inhomogeneously broadened spin ensembles as quantum memories under continuous dynamical decoupling. The role of the continuous driving field is twofold: firstly, it decouples individual spins from magnetic noise; secondly, and more importantly, it suppresses and reshapes the spectral inhomogeneity of spin ensembles. We show that a continuous driving field, which itself may also be inhomogeneous over the ensemble, can considerably enhance the decay of the tails of the inhomogeneous broadening distribution. This fact enables a spin-ensemble-based quantum memory to exploit the effect of cavity protection and achieve a much longer storage time. In particular, for a spin ensemble with a Lorentzian spectral distribution, our calculations demonstrate that continuous dynamical decoupling has the potential to improve its storage time by orders of magnitude for the stateof-the-art experimental parameters.

<sup>5</sup> Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0) $\bigcirc$  000 [ShareAlike 3.0 licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0) Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

*New Journal of Physics* **14** (2012) 093030

© IOP Publishing Ltd and Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft

**IOP** Institute of Physics **ODEUTSCHE PHYSIKALISCHE GESELLSCHAFT** 

#### **Contents**



#### **1. Introduction**

Ensembles of a large number of emitters, e.g. cold atoms, polar molecules and electronic spins, are very appealing systems for quantum memory ([\[1\]](#page-10-0) and references therein) and quantum repeaters [\[2\]](#page-10-0) for long-distance quantum communication. Recently, experimental progress led to the demonstration that hybrid quantum systems composed of superconducting qubits and a collection of electronic spins represent a promising new route  $[3-7]$  that takes advantage of the long coherence times in spin ensembles and the fast operation of a superconducting qubit. The large number, N, of spins provides a collective enhancement of the interaction strength between the spin ensemble and the electromagnetic field, and the collective coupling strength scales as  $\Omega = (\sum_k g_k^2)^{1/2} \sim \sqrt{N}g$ . The strong coupling regime for an ensemble of electronic spins and a superconducting resonator has recently been experimentally demonstrated [\[3–6\]](#page-10-0), spins and a superconducting resonator has recently been experimentally den<br>i.e.  $\sqrt{N}g \gg \gamma$ ,  $\kappa$ , where  $\gamma$  and  $\kappa$  are the spin's and resonator's damping rates.

Despite these achievements, realizing a quantum memory for microwave photons using spin ensembles remains a challenge due to both, the linewidth of the resonator and of the spin ensemble [\[4\]](#page-10-0). Apart from the dephasing of individual spins owing to magnetic noise, the spectral inhomogeneity over the ensemble will lead to decay of the collective superradiant spin wave mode of the spin ensemble to dark states. The performance of a quantum memory is largely dependent on the properties of the spectral inhomogeneity [\[8,](#page-11-0) [9\]](#page-11-0). In particular, if the tails of the spectral distribution decay faster than a Lorentzian profile, the cavity can provide coherence protection and improve the storage time of a quantum memory due to the collective enhancement of coupling strength. However, Lorentzian distributions are found in many solidstate systems, e.g. electronic spin ensembles in diamond and impurities in crystals. In these systems, the memory time will still be significantly limited due to the spectral inhomogeneity and would hardly benefit from the strong collective coupling [\[8,](#page-11-0) [9\]](#page-11-0).

In this paper, we investigate how continuous dynamical decoupling can be used to construct a memory based on driven spin ensembles that has a long storage time. It is known that continuous driving fields can decouple spins from magnetic noise [\[10–14\]](#page-11-0). How continuous dynamical decoupling can improve the performance of quantum memories has not yet been examined in detail so far. In this paper, we show that besides the protection against magnetic field noise, continuous driving can have another prominent role in the present context, namely it can suppress and reshape the spectral inhomogeneity of spin ensembles. For Lorentzian distributions, continuous driving leads to a much faster decay of the tails of the effective

2

#### **IOP** Institute of Physics **ODEUTSCHE PHYSIKALISCHE GESELLSCHAFT**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** The setup of a cylinder-like diamond sample coupled with a high-*Q* quantum resonator. The dynamical decoupler drive resonator generates a continuous microwave driving field. The driving field at a position at a distance *r* to the center of the sample is calculated using the Biot–Savart law. The radius and height of the sample are denoted by *d* and *h*, respectively. The distance from the center of the sample to the drive resonator is *R*.

spectral distributions. This effect makes all the spin transition frequencies far from the energy gap induced by the strong collective coupling, and thus the driven spin-ensemble memory can benefit from the cavity protection [\[8,](#page-11-0) [9\]](#page-11-0). To analyze the performance of the driving system as a quantum memory, we calculate the decay of the collective Rabi oscillation and the state fidelity after a certain storage time by solving the Schrödinger equation with the Laplace transformation. Our numerical calculations demonstrate that the storage time of a driven spin ensemble can be improved by orders of magnitude with reasonable experimental parameters even if the continuous driving field itself is inhomogeneous over a finite size of sample.

#### **2. The setup of driven spin ensembles**

We consider a cylinder-shaped diamond sample, which is coupled to a superconducting resonator, see figure 1. The radius and height of the sample are denoted by *d* and *h*, respectively. The amplitude of the microwave driving field at the point with the distance  $r$  to the center of the diamond sample can be estimated using the Biot–Savart law  $B(r) = \frac{g_e \mu_B \mu_0 I}{4\pi}$  $rac{\mu_{\text{B}}\mu_0 I}{4\pi} \int \frac{\text{d}\vec{l}\times\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|^3}$  $\frac{\sqrt{2}x}{|\vec{x}|^3}$ , and we obtain

$$
B(r) = \frac{g_e \mu_B \mu_0 I}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{R(R - r \cos \theta)}{(R^2 - 2Rr \cos \theta + r^2)^{3/2}} d\theta,
$$
 (1)

which can be written as

$$
B(r) = \frac{g_e \mu_B \mu_0 I}{2R} \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^2 \right] + O\left[ \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^4 \right],\tag{2}
$$

where  $d\vec{l}$  is the differential element vector of the drive resonator in the direction of the current,  $\mu_0$  is the magnetic susceptibility, *R* is the radius of the dynamical decoupler drive resonator and

<span id="page-4-0"></span>*I* is the amplitude of the current. It can be seen from equation [\(2\)](#page-3-0) that when the condition  $R > 4d$ is satisfied, the inhomogeneity of the driving field amplitude will be less than 5% (i.e. the relative difference between the maximum and the minimum values across the sample). For diamond samples, it is feasible to achieve a concentration of nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers of  $\rho_0 =$  $10^{15}$  mm<sup>-3</sup> [\[15\]](#page-11-0). For a thin diamond film with radius  $d = 0.1$  mm and depth  $h = 0.1$  mm, the number of NV centers is around  $10^{12}$ . It has been estimated from experiments that the interaction between an individual spin and a superconducting resonator is of the order of 10 Hz [\[4\]](#page-10-0) and thus a collective coupling strength of the order of 10 MHz can be achieved. Assuming that  $\Delta$ is the value of the width of the spectral distribution (which is of the order of MHz [\[4\]](#page-10-0)), we can estimate that the required driving current is about  $0.3 \left(\frac{\Delta}{MHz}\right)$  A in order to generate a microwave field (which creates a Rabi frequency) of  $10\Delta$  on the diamond sample with a driving source of radius  $R = 0.5$  mm. The required current can be reduced by using several resonator loops. These parameters are within reach of current experimental technology. Careful optimization may easily improve homogeneity and strengthen the drive. In the following, our calculations will use the conservative estimated driving parameters:  $B_{\min} = \frac{g_e \mu_B \mu_0 I}{2R} = 10\Delta$  and  $B_{\text{max}} = \frac{g_e \mu_{\text{B}} \mu_0 I}{2R}$  $\frac{\mu_{\rm B}\mu_0 I}{2R}$ [1 +  $\frac{3}{4}(\frac{d}{R})$  $\frac{d}{R}$ )<sup>2</sup>] = *B*<sub>min</sub>(1+0.05). We remark that the design of the '8'-shaped high-*Q* quantum resonator, see figure [1,](#page-3-0) is to reduce the effect of the driving fields on the cavity mode since the total driving field on the resonator should vanish.

#### **3. Effective spectral distribution of driven spin ensembles**

As we have discussed in the above section, the minimum and maximum values of the amplitude of the continuous driving field on the diamond sample are  $B_{\text{min}}$  and  $B_{\text{max}}$ , respectively. We denote the probability function of the driving amplitude as  $f(B)$ , and have  $f(B)$  d $B = \rho_0 \cdot (2\pi r \, dr)h$ , where  $\rho_0$  is the concentration of NV center and *h* is the depth of the diamond sample. We remark that the actual shape of the diamond sample will not affect the main conclusions of the following discussions. After some calculations, we can see that the distribution of *B* actually has a rectangle profile, namely

$$
f(B) dB = \rho_0 (2\pi rh) dr = \frac{4\pi (\rho_0 R^2 h)}{3B_{\min}} dB.
$$
 (3)

Thus, the normalized probability function for *B* is  $\bar{f}(B) = 1/\Delta_B$  for  $B \in [B_{\min}, B_{\max}]$ , where  $\Delta_B = B_{\text{max}} - B_{\text{min}}$  is the inhomogeneity of driving field. The local Hamiltonian of an individual electronic spin is

$$
H_k = \frac{\omega_k}{2} \sigma_z^{(k)} + B_k \sigma_x^{(k)} \cos(\omega_0 t), \qquad (4)
$$

where  $\omega_0$  is chosen to coincide with the central value of the energy spectrum (which corresponds to the zero field splitting of NV center ground state, namely 2.87 GHz, and shifted by the applied magnetic field) and  $\sigma_x^{(k)}$ ,  $\sigma_z^{(k)}$  are Pauli operators. In the interaction picture, the effective Hamiltonian is written as

$$
\bar{H}_k = \frac{\Delta_k}{2} \sigma_z^{(k)} + \frac{B_k}{2} \sigma_x^{(k)},\tag{5}
$$

where we denote  $\Delta_k = \omega_k - \omega_0$ . After diagonalizing the above effective Hamiltonian, we obtain a dressed two-level system with

$$
\bar{H}_k = \frac{\bar{\omega}_k}{2} (|\!\uparrow\rangle_k \langle\uparrow| - |\!\downarrow\rangle_k \langle\downarrow|),\tag{6}
$$

#### **IOP** Institute of Physics **ODEUTSCHE PHYSIKALISCHE GESELLSCHAFT**

with  $\bar{\omega}_k = \sqrt{\Delta_k^2 + B_k^2} \geqslant B_{\text{min}}$  where  $|\uparrow\rangle_k$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle_k$  denote the effective spin-up and -down states (namely the eigenstates of the effective Hamiltonian in equation  $(5)$ ). The value of  $B_k$  is uniformly distributed within the range  $[B_{\min}, B_{\max}]$ , and we consider a Lorentzian distribution for  $\Delta_k$ , namely

$$
\rho(\Delta_k) = \frac{2\Delta}{\pi \left(\Delta^2 + 4\Delta_k^2\right)}.
$$
\n(7)

Thus, we can calculate the normalized probability distribution of the effective energy spectrum as follows:

$$
p(\bar{\omega}_k) d\bar{\omega}_k = 2 \int_{B_{\min}}^{\bar{\omega}_k} \bar{f}(B) \left[ \rho \left( \Delta_k = \sqrt{\bar{\omega}_k^2 - B^2} \right) d\Delta_k \right] dB, \tag{8}
$$

which leads to

$$
p(\bar{\omega}_k) d\bar{\omega}_k = \frac{4\Delta\bar{\omega}_k}{\pi} \int_{B_{\min}}^{\bar{\omega}_k} \bar{f}(B) \frac{\left(\bar{\omega}_k^2 - B^2\right)^{-1/2}}{\Delta^2 + 4\left(\bar{\omega}_k^2 - B^2\right)} dB d\bar{\omega}_k.
$$
\n(9)

After performing the integration, we obtain

$$
p(\bar{\omega}_k) = \begin{cases} 0, & \bar{\omega}_k \in (-\infty, B_{\min}), \\ \frac{4\bar{\omega}_k}{\pi \Delta_B} \frac{\frac{\pi}{2} - \mu(B_{\min}, \bar{\omega}_k)}{\sqrt{4\bar{\omega}_k^2 + \Delta^2}}, & \bar{\omega}_k \in [B_{\min}, B_{\max}], \\ \frac{4\bar{\omega}_k}{\pi \Delta_B} \frac{\mu(B_{\max}, \bar{\omega}_k) - \mu(B_{\min}, \bar{\omega}_k)}{\sqrt{4\bar{\omega}_k^2 + \Delta^2}}, & \bar{\omega}_k \in (B_{\max}, \infty), \end{cases}
$$
(10)

where  $\mu(B, \bar{\omega}_k) = \tan^{-1}(B\Delta/\sqrt{(4\bar{\omega}_k^2 + \Delta^2)(\bar{\omega}_k^2 - B^2)})$  and  $\Delta_B$  is the inhomogeneity of the driving field. In the ideal case of perfectly homogeneous driving, namely when  $B_{\text{max}} = B_{\text{min}}$ , the distribution function is  $p(\bar{\omega}_k) = 4\bar{\omega}_k \Delta / \pi \sqrt{\bar{\omega}_k^2 - B_{\min}^2} [\Delta^2 + 4(\bar{\omega}_k^2 - B_{\min}^2)]$  for  $\bar{\omega}_k > B_{\min}$ . The interaction between individual spins and the field for a resonant cavity is

$$
H_{k-c} = g_k(a\sigma_+^k + a^\dagger \sigma_-^k),\tag{11}
$$

where  $\sigma_{\pm}^{(k)} = (\sigma_{x}^{(k)} \pm i \sigma_{y}^{(k)})/2$  and  $g_k \sim 10$  Hz. With the rotating wave approximation, which is valid when  $g_k \ll X_0$ , we can obtain the effective interaction  $\overline{H}_{k-c} = \overline{g}_k (a \mid \uparrow)_k \langle \downarrow \mid + a^{\dagger} \mid \downarrow \rangle_k \langle \uparrow \mid$ between the cavity field and the dressed two-level system with

$$
\bar{g}_k = \frac{1}{2} g_k \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta_k}{\bar{\omega}_k} \right). \tag{12}
$$

Taking into account the distribution of  $\Delta_k$ , one can show that the correction of  $\frac{\Delta_k}{\bar{\omega}_k}$  is negligible when  $B_k \gg \Delta_k$ <sup>6</sup> and thus the effective coupling strength can be approximated as  $\bar{g}_k = g_k/2$ (i.e.  $\bar{\Omega} = (\sum_{k} \bar{g}_{k}^{2})^{1/2} = \Omega/2$ ).

<sup>6</sup> A spin ensemble can be described by the coupling-density profile as  $\rho_g(\omega) \equiv \sum_k \bar{g}_k^2 \delta(\omega - \bar{\omega}_k) = \sum_k (\frac{g_k}{2})^2 [1 + \bar{g}_k^2 \delta(\omega - \bar{\omega}_k)]$  $2\frac{\Delta_k}{\tilde{\omega}_k} + (\frac{\Delta_k}{\tilde{\omega}_k})^2 \delta(\omega - \tilde{\omega}_k)$ . Note that the probability distribution of  $\Delta_k = \omega_k - \omega_0$  is symmetric for positive and negative values of  $\Delta_k$ , therefore we have  $\rho_g(\omega) \simeq \sum_k (\frac{g_k}{2})^2 [1 + (\frac{\Delta_k}{\bar{\omega}_k})^2] \delta(\omega - \bar{\omega}_k)$  and the correction is thus the second order of  $(\frac{\Delta_k}{\bar{\omega}_k})$ , which is negligible when the driving is much stronger than the original spectral broadening.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Lorentzian spectral distribution (blue) and the one modified by continuous driving (red). We use the parameters  $B_{\text{min}} = 10\Delta$  and  $B_{\text{max}} = 10.5\Delta$ . In the red curve, the zero of frequency is located at  $(B_{\text{min}} + B_{\text{max}})/2$ .

#### **4. Transmission function and collective Rabi oscillation**

We consider an ensemble of electronic spins coupled to a resonator, whose frequency and damping rate are denoted by  $\omega_c$  and  $\kappa$ , respectively. When the number of excitations is low as compared to the total number of electronic spins, the spins can be described as a collection of oscillators in the Holstein–Primakoff approximation with  $\sigma_z^{(k)} = a_k^{\dagger}$  $\int_{k}^{\dagger} a_k - \frac{1}{2}$  $rac{1}{2}$  and  $\sigma^{(k)}_{+} = a_k^{\dagger}$ *k*  $\sqrt{1 - a_k^{\dagger}}$  $\chi^{\dagger} a_k \approx a_k^{\dagger}$  $\mathbf{k}$ ; thus the total Hamiltonian can be written as

$$
H = \omega_{\rm c} a_{\rm c}^{\dagger} a_{\rm c} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \omega_k a_k^{\dagger} a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{N} g_k (a_k^{\dagger} a_{\rm c} + a_k a_{\rm c}^{\dagger}). \tag{13}
$$

Under the driving of continuous field, we have a collection of dressed two-level systems coupled with the resonator, and the effective Hamiltonian is

$$
\bar{H} = \omega_{\rm c} a_{\rm c}^{\dagger} a_{\rm c} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \bar{\omega}_k \bar{a}_k^{\dagger} \bar{a}_k + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{g_k}{2} (\bar{a}_k^{\dagger} a_{\rm c} + \bar{a}_k a_{\rm c}^{\dagger}). \tag{14}
$$

The difference between equations (13) and (14) is that the original Lorentzian distribution of  $\omega_k$ is now modified to the new distribution of  $\bar{\omega}_k$ , as shown in figure 2. The width of the distribution depends on the inhomogeneity of the driving field, and most important, the decay of its tail becomes much faster. This fact will greatly improve the performance of such a quantum memory as we will show in the rest of this work, although the effective coupling is decreased by half.

We assume that the cavity is in resonance with the spins, namely its frequency is  $\omega_c = \omega_0$ for the case with no continuous driving, while the cavity resonant frequency is  $\omega_c = \omega_0 + \omega_0$  $(B_{\text{min}} + B_{\text{max}})/2$  corresponding to the dressed spin wave mode under continuous driving. The transmission function of the cavity from the standard input–output theory is given as [\[9,](#page-11-0) [16\]](#page-11-0)

$$
t(\omega) = \frac{\mathrm{i}\,\kappa}{2} \frac{1}{(\omega_0 - \mathrm{i}\,\kappa/2 - \omega) - \sum_k g_k^2 / (\omega_k - \mathrm{i}\,\gamma/2 - \omega)},\tag{15}
$$



**Figure 3.** Transmission of a resonator (as in equation [\(15\)](#page-6-0)) coupled to a Lorentzian spectral distribution of spins without (a) and with (b) continuous driving. The parameters are  $B_{\text{min}} = 10\Delta$  and  $B_{\text{max}} = 10.5\Delta$ , and  $\kappa = 0.1$  MHz,  $\gamma = 10^{-4}$  MHz. (c) The collective Rabi oscillation between the cavity and the superradiant spin wave mode with the initial state  $|1, G\rangle$ . The curves show the quantity  $F(t) = |\langle 1, G | \exp(-\mathrm{i} t H) | 1, G \rangle|^2$  as a function of time.

where  $\gamma$  is the damping rate of spins. In the strong coupling regime, namely the collective coupling is much larger than the decay rates (i.e.  $\Omega \gg \gamma, \kappa$ ), the excitation is coherently exchanged between the cavity and the superradiant mode of the ensemble of emitters. The socalled vacuum Rabi splitting corresponds to the appearance of double peaks in the transmission spectrum centered at the frequencies around  $\pm \Omega$ . Inhomogeneous broadening will damp the collective Rabi oscillation and lead to the line broadening of the transmission peaks. In figures  $3(a)$  and (b), we show that the linewidth of the transmission peaks is greatly narrowed with continuous driving field, because the inhomogeneous broadening is suppressed. Once the effective collective coupling  $\overline{\Omega}$  is larger than the inhomogeneity of the driving field  $B_{\text{max}} - B_{\text{min}}$ , the transmission function shows two peaks, the linewidth of which is mainly determined by the damping rate of the cavity and individual spins. Such a behavior is very similar to the ideal rectangular spectral distribution [\[9\]](#page-11-0). To compare the effect of inhomogeneous broadening on the collective Rabi oscillation, we assume that the damping rate  $\kappa = 0$  and  $\gamma = 0$ . In figure 3(c), it can be seen that the coherence time of the collective Rabi oscillation is greatly improved with continuous driving.

#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>**5. Long-lived quantum memory**

The strong coupling between the cavity and the ensemble induces an energy gap between the dressed polariton modes, i.e. superpositions of the cavity mode  $a_c$  and the ensemble superradiant mode  $b = \sum_{k} (g_k/\Omega)a_k$ , which are protected from the dephasing caused by the inhomogeneous broadening. With such an effect of cavity protection, it is possible to build a quantum memory with a storage time much longer than the lifetime of the cavity mode and the dephasing time of the spin ensemble. The condition for the cavity protection is that the spectral distribution should decay sufficiently fast so that all the spin transition frequencies are far from the energy gap (arising from the collective coupling) [\[8,](#page-11-0) [9\]](#page-11-0). This explains why a Lorentzian distribution of spin ensemble cannot benefit much from the cavity protection, in particular as compared to the rectangular spectrum profile.

The other critical factor for a long-lived quantum memory is the damping of the cavity. To overcome this problem, one can choose the cavity–spin tuning δ such that the effect of cavity damping is suppressed but the memory can still benefit from the cavity protection [\[8,](#page-11-0) [9\]](#page-11-0). The microwave photon can be stored to the dressed polariton mode as

$$
|\psi_0(\delta)\rangle = \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|1, G\rangle - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|0, S\rangle, \qquad (16)
$$

where cot  $(\theta) = (\delta/2\Omega)$  and  $|S\rangle = (1/\Omega) \sum_k g_k | \uparrow_k \rangle$ . Thus, if the detuning is large the excitation is more likely to stay in the spin superradiant mode and suffers less from the damping of the cavity. While the cavity protection against the inhomogeneous broadening requires a small detuning so that the effective collective coupling is strong. The storage time of a quantum memory achieves the best value for an optimal detuning [\[9\]](#page-11-0). The performance of a quantum memory is characterized by the state fidelity  $F(t) = |f(t)|^2$  with  $f(t) = \langle \phi_0(\delta) | \phi(\delta, t) \rangle$ , where the state at time *t* is  $|\phi(\delta, t)\rangle = \exp(-itH)|\phi_0(\delta)\rangle = \alpha_0 |1, G\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_k |0, \uparrow_k\rangle$ . To calculate the state fidelity, one needs to solve the Schrödinger equation  $[8, 9]$  $[8, 9]$  $[8, 9]$  $[8, 9]$  for the coefficients  $\alpha_k$ , namely

$$
\dot{\alpha_0}(t) = -\mathbf{i}\,\tilde{\omega}_c\alpha_0(t) - \mathbf{i}\sum_{k=1}^N g_k\alpha_k(t),\tag{17}
$$

$$
\dot{\alpha_k}(t) = -i g_k \alpha_0(t) - i \tilde{\omega}_k \alpha_k(t), \qquad (18)
$$

where  $\tilde{\omega}_c = \omega_c - i \kappa/2$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}_k = \omega_k - i \gamma/2$ . By performing the Laplace transformation, we obtain

$$
sA_0(s) - A_0(0) = -\mathrm{i}\,\tilde{\omega}_c A_0(s) - \mathrm{i}\sum_{k=1}^N g_k A_k(s),\tag{19}
$$

$$
sA_k(s) - A_k(0) = -i g_k A_0(s) - i \tilde{\omega}_k A_k(s).
$$
 (20)

The solutions of  $A_0(s)$  and  $A_k(s)$  are

$$
A_0(s) = \left[\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\Omega} \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) M(s)\right] T(s),\tag{21}
$$

$$
A_k(s) = -\frac{\mathrm{i}\,g_k}{s + \mathrm{i}\,\tilde{\omega}_k} A_0(s) - \frac{1}{\Omega} \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \frac{g_k}{s + \mathrm{i}\,\tilde{\omega}_k},\tag{22}
$$



**Figure 4.** (a) The state fidelity *F* at time  $t = 50\Delta^{-1}$  as a function of the cavity–spin detuning  $\delta$ . (b) The time evolution of the state fidelity  $F(t)$  for a Lorentzian quantum memory without and with continuous driving. The detuning  $\delta$  is chosen as the optimal value.

where

$$
M(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{g_k^2}{s + \mathbf{i}\,\tilde{\omega}_j} \quad \text{and} \quad T(s) = \frac{1}{s + \mathbf{i}\,\tilde{\omega}_c + M(s)}.
$$
 (23)

The Laplace transformation of  $f(t) = \langle \phi_0(\delta) | \phi(\delta, t) \rangle = \cos(\frac{\theta}{2})$  $\frac{\theta}{2}$ ) $\alpha_0(t)$  – (1/ $\Omega$ ) sin( $\frac{\theta}{2}$  $\frac{\theta}{2}$ )  $\sum_{k} g_k \alpha_k(t)$ is

$$
\bar{f}(s) = \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) A_0(s) - (1/\Omega)\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N g_k A_k(s),\tag{24}
$$

from which one can calculate the state fidelity  $F(t)$  and compare the storage time of a quantum memory without and with continuous driving.

We have compared the state fidelity for a Lorentzian distribution of spin ensemble with and without continuous driving, namely calculated the solution in equation (24) for the original Hamiltonian in equation [\(13\)](#page-6-0) and the dressed Hamiltonian in equation [\(14\)](#page-6-0). It can be seen from figures 4(a) and (b) that: (i) the increasing collective coupling has very little effect on the state fidelity for Lorentzian distribution if there is no driving as expected; (ii) the storage time can be improved by orders of magnitude with continuous driving; (iii) the continuous driving also enables the quantum memory to benefit from the cavity protection, which suppresses the effect of the inhomogeneity in the driving field and thereby improves the performance with increasing collective coupling strength. We stress that the parameters in our numerical simulations are within the state-of-art cavity quantum electrodynamic technologies. Careful optimization may easily improve homogeneity and strengthen the drive. To be more specific, we conservatively take the inhomogeneous broadening as  $\Delta = 1$  MHz and the damping rate of the cavity  $\kappa = 0.1$  MHz (i.e. the cavity lifetime is 10  $\mu$ s). The storage time of a Lorentzian quantum memory is limited by the dephasing of the spin ensemble (about  $1 \mu s$ ). With continuous driving  $B_{\text{min}} = 10(20)$  MHz and  $B_{\text{max}} = 10.5(20.5)$  MHz, the state fidelity can be as high as  $0.5(0.7)$ even after 50  $\mu$ s.

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>**6. Summary and discussion**

In summary, we have shown that continuous driving can suppress the effect of inhomogeneous broadening on a quantum memory based on spin ensembles. In addition to the obvious role of decoupling individual spins from magnetic noise, continuous driving can also reduce and reshape the spectral distribution. Even though the continuous driving field itself is inhomogeneous over the ensemble, it can make the tails of the spectral density profile decay much faster and approach the ideal rectangle distribution. This feature then allows us to take advantage of the cavity protection in the strong coupling regime and to achieve a much improved performance of a quantum memory. We consider the Lorentzian spectral distribution as an example, as it is relevant for many solid-state systems, e.g. a spin ensemble in diamond. Our results demonstrate that the linewidth of the double peaks in the transmission spectrum is greatly narrowed by continuous driving. Furthermore, the storage time of a driven quantum memory can be extended by orders of magnitude as compared with the one without driving. We stress that the main feature of our scheme is that the effect of the inhomogeneity of a continuous driving field can be suppressed by strong collective coupling via cavity protection. As a comparison, one could invert all the spins halfway through the storage period to eliminate inhomogeneous broadening; nevertheless, the pulse inhomogeneity will inevitably lead to inversion errors over individual spins, which cannot be directly compensated for by cavity protection as occurs for continuous driving. We expect that the idea of driven quantum memory can be extended to other physical systems, e.g. ion Coulomb crystals [\[17,](#page-11-0) [18\]](#page-11-0).

#### **Acknowledgments**

We thank Igor Diniz for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, the EU Integrating Project Q-ESSENCE, the EU STREP PICC and HIP, DIAMANT, the BMBF Verbundprojekt QuOReP, DFG (FOR 1482 and 1493), ISF 1248/10 and DARPA. J-MC was also supported by a Marie-Curie Intra-European Fellowship within the 7th European Community Framework Program.

#### **References**

- [1] Simon C *et al* 2010 Quantum memories: a review based on the European integrated project qubit applications (QAP) *Eur. Phys. J.* D **[58](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2010-00103-y)** 1
- [2] Sangouard N, Simon C, de Riedmatten H and Gisin N 2011 Quantum repeaters based on atomic ensembles and linear optics *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **[83](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.33)** 33
- [3] Schuster D I *et al* 2010 High-cooperativity coupling of electron-spin ensembles to superconducting cavities *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** [140501](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.140501)
- [4] Kubo Y *et al* 2010 Strong coupling of a spin ensemble to a superconducting resonator *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** [140502](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.140502)
- [5] Wu H, George R E, Wesenberg J H, Mølmer K, Schuster D I, Schoelkopf R J, Itoh K M, Ardavan A, Morton J J L and Briggs G A D 2010 Storage of multiple coherent microwave excitations in an electron spin ensemble *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** [140503](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.140503)
- [6] Amsuss R et al 2011 Cavity QED with magnetically coupled collective spin states *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** [060502](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.060502)
- [7] Zhu X *et al* 2011 Coherent coupling of a superconducting flux qubit to an electron spin ensemble in diamond *Nature* **[478](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10462)** 221
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[8] Kurucz Z, Wesenberg J H and Mølmer K 2011 Spectroscopic properties of inhomogeneously broadened spin ensembles in a cavity *Phys. Rev.* A **83** [053852](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.053852)
- [9] Diniz I, Portolan S, Ferreira R, Gérard J M, Bertet P and Auffeves A 2011 Strongly coupling a cavity to inhomogeneous ensembles of emitters: potential for long-lived solid-state quantum memories *Phys. Rev.* A **84** [063810](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.063810)
- [10] Timoney N, Elman V, Glaser S, Weiss C, Johanning M, Neuhauser W and Wunderlich Chr 2008 Errorresistant single-qubit gates with trapped ions *Phys. Rev.* A **77** [052334](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.052334)
- [11] Bermudez A, Jelezko F, Plenio M B and Retzker A 2011 Electron-mediated nuclear-spin interactions between distant NV centers *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** [150503](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.150503)
- [12] Bermudez A, Schmidt P O, Plenio M B and Retzker A 2012 Robust trapped-ion quantum logic gates by continuous dynamical decoupling *Phys. Rev.* A **85** [040302](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.040302)
- [13] Cai J-M, Naydenov B, Pfeiffer R, McGuinness L P, Jahnke K D, Jelezko F, Plenio M B and Retzker A 2011 Robust dynamical decoupling with concatenated continuous driving arXiv[:1111.0930](http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.0930)
- [14] Timoney N, Baumgart I, Johanning M, Varon A F, Plenio M B, Retzker A and Wunderlich Ch 2011 Quantum gates and memory using microwave-dressed states *Nature* **[476](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10319)** 185
- [15] Aharonovich I, Santori C, Fairchild B A, Orwa J, Ganesan K, Fu K-M C, Beausoleil R G, Greentree A D and Prawer S 2009 Producing optimized ensembles of nitrogen-vacancy color centers for quantum information applications *J. Appl. Phys.* **106** [124904](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3271579)
- [16] Tufarelli T, Retzker A, Plenio M B and Serafini A 2012 Input–output Gaussian channels: theory and application arXiv[:1205.6004](http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.6004)
- [17] Herskind P F, Dantan A, Marler J P, Albert M and Drewsen M 2009 Realization of collective strong coupling with ion Coulomb crystals in an optical cavity *Nature Phys.* **5** [494](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1302)
- [18] Albert M, Marler J P, Herskind P F, Dantan A and Drewsen M 2012 Collective strong coupling between ion Coulomb crystals and an optical cavity field: theory and experiment *Phys. Rev.* A **85** [023818](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.023818)